LONDON DAILY TELEGRAPH 29 DECEMBER 1980

COMPARISONS are already being drawn in Washington between the appointment of Mr William J. Casey as GLA director under the Reagan Administration and the choice of Mr John McCone for the same role under the Kennedy Administration

2 th men are shrewd non-professionals although Mr a Caser served with distinction in the Office of Strategic Ser-vices and is remembered with affection by many waritimes colleagues in London) whose instinct may prove a surer guide to policy than the conventional wisdoms of :-the-established bureaucracy... Mr. McCone's instinct told him that Khrushcheve had secreted missiles in Cuba-when CIA analysts were still unconvinced. Similarly, or Mr Casey is unlikely to pay overmuch respect to estimates from the analytical side of the CIA—the National Foreign Assess ments Centre (NFAC)—suggesting that the motivation for the Soviet military buildup is essentially defensive: his instinct tells him otherwise.

According to sources inside Mr.
Reagan's CIA transition
team, a major overhaul of
NFAC is expected to be one
of the first consequences of
Mr. Casey's appointment. The
present head of NFAC, Mr.
Bruce Clark is expected to
be replaced

One leading contender to take his place, is Mr. George Carver, rays former CIA estation chief in Bonn, now based at the Georgetown Centre for Strategic and International Studies, who is serves on Mr Reagan's transition team and has made himself as subtle and engaging commentator on intelligence matters standard the parallel development, the

Defence Intelligence Agency (DFA) and the other components of Pentagon Intelligence are likely to be given a larger role in the shaping of national estimates, their predictive record is generally recognised to have been much better than that of NFA C.

Mr Casey and his team are likely to move slowly, avoiding radical staffing changes at Langley, the view in the Reagan camp is that the CFA has already been dangerously demoralised through purges of veteran officers.

PUTTING MUSC PUTTING MUSC IN THE CIA By ROBERT MOSS

However, the new CTA direction, however, engage the services of some of the senior people, who were fired, or pressured into premature retirement under Admiral Stansfield Turner or his no-less controversial predecessor, Mr. William Colby, In addition to analysis, the

other component of CIA
activities that is likely to be
subjected to most rigorous
scrutiny is counter-intelligence.

There is widespread concern that the counter-intelligence. (1) staff was fatally weak-ened in 1974, when Mr. Colby managed to be engineer the ouster of Mr James Jesus Angleton, for two decades the agency's CI chief.

The nominal cause of Mr
Angelton's removal was the
Press leak of his involvement
in a programme of domestic
mail intercepts. It was not
made clear at the time that
this programme had been
initiated as early as 1953 with
full presidential authority;
and that it has resulted in
the discovery of an import
ant East German "illegal"
as well as of contacts between prominent Congressional figures and the Soviet
K.G.B.

Staff cuts

With-Mr Angleton's fall, the powers of the centralised CIA staif were radically reduced, and the security of the department's own files—including sensitive studies of allied secret—services—was lessened, giving rise to concern that CIA operations, and allied secrets, had become more vulnerable to Soviet detection and penetration.

Counter-intelligence is rarely popular within a secret service, since the CIA role is to play the institutional devil's advocate, questioning, for example, whether a defector or a double agent (whose case handlers may be intensely proud of their catch) is genuine or a KGB-controlled plant.

The breakdows of tion, however, entire, intelliger penetration and by its antagonis

Mr. Angletonzis

who have been the Reagan tronthe CI rethe next admining a dvice is weighed very seciously, not

least because of the close relationship of trust that Mr. Angleton established in the past with many friendly secret services, including the Israelis.

The whole question of CI organisation is taken up in a valuable collection of papers, edited by Dr Roy Godson, that wilk be published early next year by the Washington based Consortium for the Study of Intelligence as part of a series entitled "Intelligence

of a series entitled "Intelligence Requirements for the 1980s.".

Contributors to the new volume, e n t i t led "Counter-Intelligence," include senior presentand former CLA; and DIA

and former CLA and DIA
officials
Two of the most provocative
papers in the book are by Mr
Norman L. Smith and Mr
Donovans Pratt; who were formerly (respectively) chief of
operations and research director, on the CIA's counterintelligence staff.

Mr. Smith argues that it is necessary to re-establish a centralised -CI staff with a wide, purview, not only to easure the security of the CIA's, intelligence-collection and covert action operations, but to undertake its own offensive double agent and deception activities against the KGB.

the KGB.

He argues the very special qualifications required to make a successful CI specialist—not only in terms of intellectual ability, but; in terms of familiarity with hundreds of individual cases, over many years. He rightly observes that the Soviet intelligence, services, place place great emphasis, on the kind of historical research for which no computerised data bank can substitute.

the creation of a fully claudestine service, outside the present CIA structure, to conduct intelligence and CI operations.

operations.
The present CIA, largely reduced to analysis, covert action—and paramilitary operations (none of which are likely to remain secret indefinitely, or perhaps even for very long) would remain to defiect interest and scandal away from the clandestine service.

This is one of the many current proposals for the restructuring of the US, intelligence community that will be reacting Mr Casey's desk.

Within the narrower area of CI itself Mr Casey will be urged by some members of the CIA transition team to reinitiate the review of Soviet deception operations—especially those involving double agents in New York led by the KGB—that was aborted by the 1974 purge

CONTINUED

Approved For Release 2097/03/22 : CIA-RDP99-00498R000200010002-0